

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/780,658	02/19/2004	James K. Cavers	01311.001005.1	2181	
5514	7590 06/22/2005	EXAMINER			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			NGUYEN, JOHN B		
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2819		
			DATE MAILED: 06/22/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				-			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	0			
Office Action Summary		10/780,658	CAVERS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		John B. Nguyen	2819				
The Period for Re	ne MAILING DATE of this communication appeply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addi	'ess			
THE MAII - Extensions after SIX (if the perior if NO perior Failure to range)	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. s of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. d for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl do for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ecceived by the Office later than three months after the mailin tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: e, cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this com DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status			`				
1)⊠ Res	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 19 F	ehruary 2004					
· <u></u>	·	s action is non-final.					
3)⊠ Sin	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition (of Claims						
4a) 5)⊠ Cla 6)□ Cla 7)□ Cla	im(s) 36-38 is/are pending in the application Of the above claim(s) is/are withdration im(s) 36-38 is/are allowed. im(s) is/are rejected. im(s) is/are objected to. im(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application I	Papers						
10)⊠ The App Rep	specification is objected to by the Examine drawing(s) filed on 19 February 2004 is/ard licant may not request that any objection to the clacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreign b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received. s have been received in Appl rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National St	age			
Attachment(s)	·	~					
2) ☐ Notice of □ 3) ☑ Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) S)/Mail Date 02/19/2004.		mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/780,658

Art Unit: 2819

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure. The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of **50 to 150 words**. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc. **Correction is required**. See MPEP § 608.01(b)..

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex* parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Application/Control Number: 10/780,658

Art Unit: 2819

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 3

2. Claims 36-38 are allowed.

3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art fails to show a linearizer comprising an adaptation controller with M monitor signals input thereto and M control settings output therefrom, wherein the adaptation controller first determines M uncorrelated adjustment settings dependent on the M monitor signals, and then adjusts the M control settings using the M uncorrelated adjustment settings as called for in claims 36-38. Therefore, claims 36-38 are presently allowed.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John B Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1808. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-5:00 PM M-F.

John B. Nguyen

June 20, 2005